Novel polymorphic microsatellite markers for paternity analysis in the red-capped robin (Petroica goodenovii: Aves)
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Abstract

Seven microsatellite loci were isolated and characterized from the red-capped robin Petroica goodenovii, using nonradioactive polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques to screen an enriched genomic library. Five loci showed no evidence of null alleles and were variable [mean heterozygosity ($H_e$) = 0.440, mean number of alleles = 8]. Cross-amplification using primers for microsatellites in Phylloscopus occipitalis and Emberiza schoeniclus yielded another two polymorphic loci. The combined set of five red-capped robin and two cross-amplified loci are suitable for paternity assignment (exclusion probability for seven unlinked loci = 0.9760).
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During the past decade, genetic markers have been widely applied to resolve parentage in populations of wild animals. These studies have revealed unexpected variation in reproductive success among members of socially monogamous species. In birds, this variation occurs because paired males obtain extra-pair fertilizations (EPFs; Birkhead & Møller 1992), thereby enhancing their own reproductive success at the expense of the cuckolded individual.

Across bird species, variation in the frequency of extra-pair paternity is associated with the expression of male secondary sexual characters. Strong sexual dichromatism in plumage (where males have more brightly coloured plumage than females) is correlated with high rates of extra-pair paternity (Møller 1997; Owens & Hartley 1998). Therefore, objective measurement of reproductive success using molecular tools is essential for studies that seek to examine whether a potential ornament is maintained through sexual selection.

The red-capped robin (Petroica goodenovii, Petroicidae) is a socially monogamous but strikingly sexually dichromatic bird, in which adult males possess bright red forehead and breast patches, and females are grey-brown in colour (Boles 1988). Here, we describe a microsatellite-based genotyping system that allows unambiguous assignment of parentage in this species.

We extracted DNA from blood samples taken from red-capped robins in Terrick Terrick National Park (36°10’ S, 144°13’ E) in northern Victoria, Australia, using a salting-out procedure (Bruford et al. 1992). Genomic DNA was enriched for GAAA and GT repeat-containing fragments in separate polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) with the same conditions, using the method described by Gardner et al. (1999) with modifications as detailed in Adcock & Mulder (2002). Positive clones were amplified in 50 µL reactions and the DNA extracted using the Qiaquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR products were sequenced commercially (SUPAMAC, Sydney, Australia).

From 369 colonies screened in the GAAA-enriched genomic library, 16 positive clones were sequenced. Primers were designed (Life Technologies) for seven of these that contained five repeats or more and had suitable flanking sequence. From 48 colonies screened in the GT-enriched genomic library, nine positive clones were sequenced. Of these, primers were developed for three loci. Primer pairs that gave consistent, specific products were tested for polymorphism. One primer in each pair was manufactured with a 5′-M13 (5′-CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC) tail for use in the universal dye-labelling method described by Boutin-Ganache et al. (2001). Primer sequences, optimum annealing temperatures and MgCl₂ concentrations are listed in Table 1. We assessed polymorphism by typing at least 16 putatively unrelated individuals at each microsatellite
Table 1 Characterization of microsatellite loci in the red-capped robin Petroica goodenovii. The final annealing temperature ($T_a$) is in °C and the MgCl$_2$ concentration in mM. The number of individuals tested ($n$), number of alleles ($N_A$), allele size range in base pairs (bp), and observed ($H_o$) and expected ($H_e$) heterozygosity and the probability of excluding a father (Ex) are listed for each locus. The M13 prefix in the primer sequence denotes a 5' M13 tail (CACGACGTGTAAAACGAC), attached to the primer sequence. Cloned sequences have been deposited with GenBank under Accession numbers AY289550–AY289556. Cross-amplification using primers for microsatellites in Phylloscopus occipitalis$^1$ and Emberiza schoeniclus$^2$ yielded two more polymorphic loci in Petroica goodenovii.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Repeat motif in clone</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5'–3')</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$T_a$ [MgCl$_2$]</th>
<th>$N_A$</th>
<th>bp</th>
<th>$H_o$</th>
<th>$H_e$</th>
<th>Ex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pgm1</td>
<td>(CTTT)$_3$(TCTTT)$_2$</td>
<td>F: TTTACCTCCCTTCAAGAATTGG R: M13-TTTCACATTTTTTTTCATAGG</td>
<td>25 55</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>207–277</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm2</td>
<td>(TC)$_4$(CTTT)$_5$CTTCTTCTTT</td>
<td>F: TCTCTTTTTCACAACTTTAAGGG R: M13-TGCTCTCAACACACCTTTATGG</td>
<td>29 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>223–240</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm3</td>
<td>(TC)$_4$(CTTT)$_2$</td>
<td>F: M13-CACCTGAAATGAAAGACCTGG R: TCTCCAGAACCTGCTTAAAAAC</td>
<td>23 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>185–202</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm4</td>
<td>(CTTT)$_3$</td>
<td>F: AGCTCTACATAGCTTAAAGGG R: M13-TGCTCTCTTTTCTTATAGG</td>
<td>16 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>244–248</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm5</td>
<td>(GT)$_2$(TT)$_16$</td>
<td>F: ACTGCTGAACTGTGAGAGCC R: M13-AAACCTGTCCTGCTC</td>
<td>30 54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>201–289</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm6</td>
<td>(CTTT)$_6$</td>
<td>F: TGGTTAAGAAAGAGAAAAAGACC R: M13-CAGATTTTGGAGCGGAACAGG</td>
<td>21 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>183–215</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm7</td>
<td>(CTTT)$_5$CTTA(CTTT)$_3$</td>
<td>F: AGACGTCGAGACTGGATTCG R: M13-TGCACCTTTGCAAAGTTC</td>
<td>28 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>220–260</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocc6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>F: TCACCCCTCAAAAAACACACACA R: M13-ACCTTCCTTCCCTGTTACAGG</td>
<td>16 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>196–206</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escmu6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>F: M13-CATTCCTGATTCCTTTATAGG R: GCAAGCTCTTTTAAATTG</td>
<td>25 52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120–132</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Bensch et al. (1997); 2Hanotte et al. (1994).

The final concentration of MgCl$_2$ dye (D$_2$, D$_3$ or D$_4$), and the locus-specific tailed (15 nM) zygosity for each locus. $H_o$ had low levels of variability ($N_A = 2, H_e = 0.18$). The remaining loci were more variable ($N_A = 5–20, H_e = 0.24–0.93$). Pgm6 and Pgm7 showed heterozygote deficiency, probably indicating the presence of null alleles, and analysis using GENEPOL 3.3 (Raymond & Rousset 1995) indicated both loci deviated significantly from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. To increase the general exclusion probability (Equation 1a in Jamieson & Taylor 1997) for identifying extra-pair young, we used two further microsatellites isolated by cross-amplification using the Pocc6 and Escmu6 primers (Table 1). Using tests implemented in genepop 3.3 (Raymond & Rousset 1995), no other loci showed significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, and there was no linkage disequilibrium among loci ($P > 0.05$). The general exclusion probability, in the case where the mother is known, of seven variable, unlinked loci (Pgm1, 2, 3, 4, 5, Pocc6, Escmu6) is 0.9760. Offspring are rarely recruited close to their natal territories (DK Dowling, unpublished data). Thus, assigning parentage is straightforward because potential parents are not closely related.
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